

Working Group actions as a consequence of the DCP314 Consultation response review

Ofgem and Guidance Notes Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
Action 01	<p>Engage with Chris Parish from Ofgem to discuss the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response letter to the WG Chair's letter in the spring (02/03) • Is there likely to be an IDNO License modification (action 03/01) • And if so what is included within the IDNO license and expected timeframes for completion <p>Northern Powergrid's Question 4 response is also to be discussed with CP regarding the progress of our Change Proposal with the license modification also in progress.</p>	JL	
Action 02	Action to review the guidance note with reference if the text should be updated to EDNO from LDNO.	Working Group	
Action 03	Review section 4.7 of the Guidance Note Northern Powergrid mention that if the DNOs are able to operate out of area it would be an unlevel playing field.	Working Group	
Action 04	Investigate if the out of area charges are recovered through the License.	Working Group	
Action 05	SEPD suggest changing the first word of paragraph 4.7 to 'DNOs' rather than 'Companies' so that it is clear which category of companies is referred to.	Working Group	

TRAMs Spreadsheet Report Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
Action 01	Review the TRAMs Report Spreadsheets to see if there is scope to include a “Anomaly Box” for out of the ordinary costs in regard to ESPs Consultation response in Question 8.	AE/RJ	
Action 02	Include a Total in the TRAMs Report Spreadsheet for DNOs	AE/RJ	
Action 03	Review the TRAMs Report and proceed with the voted option of “By Date”. Also look into the potential for providing Half Hourly settlement data to allow more accurate reconciliation.	Working Group	
Action 04	Dave Wornell to investigate internally on the response to Question 10, and feedback if the issue was a billing system related issue.	DW	
Action 05	Investigate the current Half Hourly Reports and see if for a credit if the bill shows a negative value on the report.	JL	Completed. The report does show negative values and is to be implemented as apart of DCP312 on the 1 st November 2018. Consideration needs to be given to the NHH Report where the negative value is difficult to see.
Action 06	Clarify the spreadsheet associated with the percentage being claimed due to the passage of time post the expected payment date	Working Group	

Legal Text Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
Action 01	<p>Review the legal text for disputes process within Schedule 4, and Schedule 19 provided that legal text is amended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify which clause refers to schedule 4 and what amendments are required <p>Email BU-UK in regard to the response in which they stated <i>"provided that legal text is amended"</i></p>	Working Group	
Action 02	Review schedule 4 and 19 to see if this is relating to making payments rather than cancelling a bill as the Working Group were unsure on if Schedule 4 was the correct approach.	Working Group	
Action 03	Review the legal text associated with Credit Cover relating to Northern Powergrids response to question 8.	Working Group	
Action 04	Review the legal text associated with the Administrator	Working Group	
Action 05	Review the legal text provided by ESP	Working Group	
Action 06	Produce the legal text appendix based on the option chosen	Working Group	
Action 07	Clarify the legal text associated with the percentage being claimed due to the passage of time post the expected payment date	Working Group	
Action 08	<p>Consider a legal text amendment suggested by NPG associated with the HH report</p> <p>suggest that paragraph 8.3 be amended as follows:</p>	Working Group	

	“...the EDNO shall re-submit <u>an extract of</u> any half-hourly DUoS reports <u>which relates to sites registered to the defaulting Supplier Party, and</u> which relate to a period for which the EDNO has payments outstanding from the defaulting Supplier Party.”		
--	---	--	--

Additional

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
Action 01	ElectraLink to include Northern Powergrid’s response to Question 1 in the Change Report regarding the LDNO and recovery of bad debts	ElectraLink	
Action 02	RJ and GM both to investigate internally regarding whether to raise an alternative to Option A and feedback the result to the Working Group	RJ/GM	
Action 03	<p>Email UK Power Networks regarding their responses to the consultation questions to see if there is a misunderstanding or if there is insight into ways in which to improve the Change.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Question 8 <i>“However we do have a concern over the additional work placed upon the DNO to provide the audit assurance role as part of this exercise”</i> <p>Working Group also unsure on UK Powers Response to Question 15 in regard to the treatment of any disputed invoices an Email will be sent to understand the response.</p>	HN	
Action 04	Attach ESPs legal text to the Actions log	HN	
Action 05	Email Electricity North West regarding the answer to Question 12	HN	

- | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- standard formatted backing data? | | |
|--|--|--|--|

Working group were unsure on the data they were talking about in the response.